

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5  
6 OSCAR WILFREDO DE LEON, *et al.*,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 JAMES L. ROBINSON, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01452-JAD-NJK

**Order**  
[Docket No. 55]

11 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion for the Court to set a discovery scheduling  
12 order. Docket No. 55. Plaintiffs submit that counsel has attempted to meet and confer with  
13 Defendant R-Three Technologies, Inc.'s counsel to file a joint proposed discovery plan, but  
14 Defendant's counsel has failed to respond. *Id.* at 2.

15 Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motion is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 55.  
16 Defendant's counsel must make herself available for a Rule 26(f) conference no later than April  
17 22, 2025. The parties must file a joint discovery plan no later than April 24, 2025.  
18 **DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL'S FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS.**

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: April 18, 2025

21   
22 Nancy J. Koppe  
23 United States Magistrate Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28